



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	-	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/672,145	09/27/2000		Thomas E. Saulpaugh	5181-67300	6194		
	75 90	01/30/2004	01/30/2004		EXAMINER		
Robert C K			BRANCOLINI, JOHN R				
Conley Rose & Tayon PC P O Box 398				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Austin, TX 78767-0398				2153	ĸ		
				DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. SAULPAUGH ET AL. 09/672,145 Office Action Summary Examiner **Art Unit** 2153 John R Brancolini -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 September 2000. 2b) This action is non-final. 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) Claim(s) 1-68 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-68 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 27 September 2000 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Attachment(s)

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

-1449 (modified)
. Patents and Publications
For Applicant's Information
Disclosure Statement

ATTY. DKT. NO. 5181-67300

SERIAL NO. 09/672,145

APPLICANT: Slaughter et al.

GROUP: 2155

(Use several sheets if necessary) FILING DATE: September 27, 2000

				Γ DOCUMENTS	<u> </u>	.,			
EXAM. NITIALS	REF DES	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME .	CLASS	S SUB CLASS	FILING DATE II APPROPRIATE		
0	A								
DEC 17	1 20						-		
, ,	May 6	:/							
RADEMARY	OFF ICE								
							-		
					RE	CEIV	ED		
						<u> </u>	12		
					Techno	logy Cente	r 2100		
	•								
		FOR	FICN DATE	NT DOCUMENTS					
XAM.	REF.	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUB	TRANSLATION		
ITIALS	DES.				CLASS	CLASS	YES/NO		
<i>(</i> 1)	E1	456 920	11/21/91	EP					
<u> </u>	E2	969 366	1/5/00	Ер					
1	E3	965 917	12/22/99						
		OTHER ART (II	ncluding Author	r, Title, Date, Pertinent P	ages, Etc.)				
	E4	Czerwinski, et al., "An Architecture for a Secure Service Discovery Service," Mobicom 99, Proceedings of the 5 th Annual ACM/IEEE International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking, August 15, 1999, XP000896069, pp. 24-35.							
1	E5	K. Edwards, "Core Jini", June 1999, Prentice Hall PTR, 1st Edition, XP002212109, pps. 636-637, 651-657.							
1 T	E6	International Search Report for PCT/US 01/15277, mailed September 27, 2002.							

AMINER:

DATE CONSIDERED

-22-00

AMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through tion if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to the patent owner.

Art Unit: 2153

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-68 are pending in the application.

Priority

Application claims priorities to the following provisional applications: 60/208011, 60/202975, 60/209430, 60/209140, 60/209525.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: Fig 10b, item 130 Results is referred to as 180 in the specification. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Art Unit: 2153

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8, 10-17, 22-31, 33-37, 42-46, 48-52, 54, 59-65, 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bittenger et al. (US Patent Number 6453362), hereinafter referred to as Bittenger.

In regards to claim 1, Bittenger discloses a method for remotely invoking methods in a distributed computing environment, comprising:

- A client generating a message in a data representation language, wherein the
 message includes information representing a computer programming language
 method call, and wherein the message further includes a credential for allowing
 the client access to a service configured to perform functions on behalf of clients
 in the distributed computing environment (client issues a command for starting an
 application, col 7 lines 27-30, a ticket is created as a credential, col 6 lines 6367).
- The client sending the message to the service (the command is issued to a remote computer, col 7 lines 27-30).

Art Unit: 2153

- The service examining the credential included in the message (the ticket is sent along with login info in the first message for the server to examine, col 7 lines 10-21).
- If said examining determines the credential is authentic, the service performing a
 function on behalf of the client in accordance with the information representing
 the computer programming language method call included in the message (after
 checking the ticket, application is started, col 7 lines 32-36).
- If said examining determines the credential is not authentic, the service not performing the function on behalf of the client (if validation does not occur, no operation is performed).

In regards to claim 2, Bittenger discloses the client comprises a client method gate configured to provide an interface to the service by generating data representation language messages including information representing method calls, and wherein said generating a message is performed by the client method gate (after receiving validation, the ticket acts as a gate to generate messages, col 7 lines 50-57).

In regards to claim 3, Bittenger discloses the sending the message is performed by the client method gate (the ticket is used in the creation of a server stub which is used to send messages and requests, col 7 lines 55-57).

Art Unit: 2153

In regards to claim 4, Bittenger discloses the client further comprises a client process, the method further comprising:

- The client process generating the computer programming language method call (ticket generates a method call, col 7 lines 50-57).
- The client method gate receiving the method call generated by the client process
 (The server stub responds to the method call, col 7 lines 50-57).
- Wherein said generating a message is performed in response to said receiving the method call (The server stub creates requests for the application, col 7 lines 55-57).

In regards to claim 5, Bittenger discloses the client further comprises a client message endpoint, wherein said sending the message to the service comprises:

- The client method gate sending the message to the client message endpoint,
 wherein the client message endpoint is configured to send messages in the data
 representation language to the service (The client ticket acts as a gate sending
 the message to the server stub, col 7 lines 50-57).
- The client message endpoint attaching the credential to the message (tStamp is an identifier used on all messages, col 7 lines 1-5).
- The client message endpoint sending the message to the service (the server stub sends the request to the server, col 7 lines 55-57).

Art Unit: 2153

In regards to claim 6, Bittenger discloses the service providing to the client a service advertisement comprising, a data representation language message schema comprising descriptions of data representation language messages the client is authorized to send to the service, and wherein said generating a message is performed in accordance with a description of the message comprised in the message schema (the server stub is sent to the client as a parameter to define messages and requests the client can make, col 7 lines 32-30).

In regards to claim 7, Bittenger discloses the client generating a client method gate in accordance with the service advertisement, wherein the client method gate is configured to provide to the client an interface to the service by generating the data representation language messages described in the message schema, wherein said generating a message is performed by the client method gate (the server stub is sent to the client as a parameter to define messages and requests the client can make, col 7 lines 32-30).

In regards to claim 8, Bittenger discloses the service advertisement further comprises an address for receiving the data representation language messages on the service, wherein said sending the message to the service comprises sending the message to the address (the server stub contains the parameters for sending a messages, which would include an address for receiving the data transmission).

Art Unit: 2153

In regards to claim 10, Bittenger discloses the client generating a client message endpoint in accordance with the service advertisement, wherein the client message endpoint is configured to send messages to the address, and wherein said sending the message to the service is performed by the client message endpoint (the server stub, originally sent to the client, is re-generated by the client to act as an endpoint, col 7 lines 32-57).

In regards to claim 11, Bittenger discloses the service comprises a service message endpoint configured to receive messages in the data representation language from the client, wherein said performing a function comprises the service message endpoint receiving the message from the client (the server stub, originally sent to the client, is re-generated by the client to act as an endpoint, col 7 lines 32-57).

In regards to claim 12, Bittenger discloses the service comprises one or more computer programming language methods executable within the service, wherein said performing a function comprises executing a computer programming language method of the service in accordance with the information representing the computer programming language method call included in the message (the server receives the message call which is a request of functions to be performed, col 8 lines 29-45).

In regards to claim 13, Bittenger discloses the service comprises one or more computer programming language methods executable within the service, wherein the

Art Unit: 2153

information representing the computer programming language method call includes an identifier of the method call, and wherein said performing a function comprises:

- Regenerating the method call in accordance with the identifier of the method call included in the information representing the method call (the ticket uses a server stub and tStamp as an identifier to represent the method call, col 7 lines 1-9)
- Executing a computer programming language method of the service in accordance with the regenerated method call (the server stub passes the message call to the server for execution, col 7 lines 27-49).

In regards to claim 14, Bittenger discloses the information representing the computer programming language method call further includes one or more parameter values of the method call, and wherein said executing a computer programming language method in accordance with the regenerated method call comprises providing the one or more parameter values from the information representing the method call as parameter values of the method call (The server stub acts a set of parameters followed when requesting data, col 7 lines 41-57).

In regards to claim 15, Bittenger discloses the service further comprises a service method gate configured to provide an interface to the one or more computer programming language methods of the service by receiving data representation language messages and invoking computer programming language methods specified by the messages, and wherein said regenerating the method call is performed by the

Art Unit: 2153

service method gate (server stub is used as a gate to provide an interface to one or more computer programming language methods, col 7 lines 41-57).

In regards to claim 16, Bittenger discloses performing a function generates results data, the method further comprising the service providing the generated results data to the client (the requests are used to create a custom process that will provide generated results to the client, col 8 lines 32-45).

In regards to claim 17, Bittenger discloses performing a function generates results data, and wherein the service comprises a service message endpoint configured to send messages in the data representation language to the client for the service, the method further comprising:

The service message endpoint sending a results message to the client, wherein
the results message includes the generated results data (the requests are used
to create a custom process that will provide generated results to the client, col 8
lines 32-45).

In regards to claim 22, Bittenger discloses the computer programming language is the Java programming language, and wherein the information representing the method call in the message represents a Java method call to a Java method implemented on the service, and wherein the service performing a function comprises invoking the Java method on the service in accordance with the information

Art Unit: 2153

representing the Java method call included in the message (the system is written in Java and utilizes a Java Virtual Machine, col 4 line 64 – col 5 line 7).

In regards to claim 23, Bittenger discloses the client is executing within a virtual machine, wherein the virtual machine is executing within a client device in the distributed computing environment (the system is written in Java and utilizes a Java Virtual Machine, col 4 line 64 – col 5 line 7).

In regards to claim 24, Bittenger discloses the virtual machine is a Java Virtual Machine (JVM) (the system is written in Java and utilizes a Java Virtual Machine, col 4 line 64 – col 5 line 7).

In regards to claim 25, Bittenger discloses a distributed computing system comprising:

- A service device comprising one or more functions executable on the service device on behalf of client devices in the distributed computing system (Fig 1 shows an outline of the system, including multiple computers and a server side application).
- A client device configured to:
 - Generate a message in a data representation language, wherein the
 message includes information representing a computer programming
 language method call, and wherein the message further includes a
 credential for allowing the client device access to the service device (client

Art Unit: 2153

issues a command for starting an application, col 7 lines 27-30, a ticket is created as a credential, col 6 lines 63-67).

- Send the message to the service device (the command is issued to a remote computer, col 7 lines 27-30).
- Wherein the service device is configured to:
 - Examine the credential included in the message (the ticket is sent along with login info in the first message for the server to examine, col 7 lines 10-21).
 - If said examining verifies the credential, perform a function on behalf of the client in accordance with the information representing the computer programming language method call included in the message (after checking the ticket, application is started, col 7 lines 32-36).
 - If said examining does not verify the credential, not perform the function on behalf of the client (if validation does not occur, no operation is performed).

In regards to claim 26, Bittenger discloses the client device comprises a client method gate configured to provide ail interface to the service by generating data representation language messages including information representing method calls, and wherein said generating a message is performed by the client method gate (after receiving validation, the ticket acts as a gate to generate messages, col 7 lines 50-57).

Art Unit: 2153

In regards to claim 27, Bittenger the client device further comprises a client process,

- Wherein the client process is configured to generate the computer programming language method call (ticket generates a method call, col 7 lines 50-57).
- Wherein the client method gate is further configured to receive the method call generated by the client process (The server stub responds to the method call, col 7 lines 50-57).
- Wherein said generating a message is performed by the client method gate in response to said receiving the method call (The server stub creates requests for the application, col 7 lines 55-57).

In regards to claim 28, Bittenger the client device further comprises a client message endpoint,

- Wherein the client method gate is further configured to send the message to the client message endpoint (The client ticket acts as a gate sending the message to the server stub, col 7 lines 50-57).
- Wherein the client message endpoint is configured to:
 - Attach the credential to the message (tStamp is an identifier used on all messages, col 7 lines 1-5).
 - Send the message to the service device (the server stub sends the request to the server, col 7 lines 55-57).

Art Unit: 2153

In regards to claim 29, Bittenger discloses the service device is further configured to provide to the client device a service advertisement comprising a data representation language message schema comprising descriptions of data representation language messages the client device is authorized to send to the service device, wherein said generating a message is performed in accordance with a description of the message comprised in the message schema (the server stub is sent to the client as a parameter to define messages and requests the client can make, col 7 lines 32-30).

In regards to claim 30, Bittenger discloses the client device is further configured to:

- Generate a client method gate in accordance with the service advertisement,
 wherein the client method gate is configured to provide to the client device an
 interface to the service device by generating the data representation language
 messages described in the message schema (the server stub is sent to the client
 as a parameter to define messages and requests the client can make, col 7 lines
 32-30).
- Wherein said generating a message is performed by the client method gate (the server stub generates the request, col 7 lines 55-57).

In regards to claim 31, Bittenger discloses wherein the service advertisement further comprises an address for receiving the data representation language messages

Art Unit: 2153

on the service device (the server stub contains the parameters for sending a messages, which would include an address for receiving the data transmission).

In regards to claim 33, Bittenger discloses the client device is further configured to:

- Generate a client message endpoint in accordance with the service advertisement, wherein the client message endpoint is configured to send the data representation language messages to the address (the server stub, originally sent to the client, is re-generated by the client to act as an endpoint, col 7 lines 32-57).
- Wherein said sending the message to the service device is performed by the client message endpoint (the server stub generates the request, col 7 lines 55-57).

In regards to claim 34, Bittenger discloses the service device comprises one or more computer programming language methods executable within the service device, wherein the information representing the computer programming language method call includes an identifier of the method call (the server receives the message call which is a request of functions to be performed, col 8 lines 29-45), and wherein, in said performing a function, the service device is further configured to:

Art Unit: 2153

- Regenerate the method call in accordance with the identifier of the method call included in the information representing the method call (the ticket uses a server stub and tStamp as an identifier to represent the method call, col 7 lines 1-9).
- Execute a computer programming language method of the service device in accordance with the regenerated method call (the server stub passes the message call to the server for execution, col 7 lines 27-49).

In regards to claim 35, Bittenger discloses the information representing the computer programming language method call further includes one or more parameter values of the method call, and wherein, in said executing a computer programming language method in accordance with the regenerated method call, the service device is further configured to:

 Provide the one or more parameter values from the information representing the method call as parameter values of the method call (The server stub acts a set of parameters followed when requesting data, col 7 lines 41-57).

In regards to claim 36, Bittenger discloses the service device further comprises a service method gate configured to provide an interface to the one or more computer programming language methods of the service by receiving data representation language messages and invoking methods specified by the messages, and wherein said regenerating the method call is performed by the service method gate (server stub

Art Unit: 2153

is used as a gate to provide an interface to one or more computer programming language methods, col 7 lines 41-57).

In regards to claim 37, Bittenger discloses performing a function generates results data, wherein the service device comprises a service message endpoint configured to send a results message in the data representation language to the client device, wherein the results message includes the generated results data (the requests are used to create a custom process that will provide generated results to the client, col 8 lines 32-45).

In regards to claim 42, Bittenger discloses the computer programming language is the Java programming language, and wherein the information representing the method call in the message represents a Java method call to a Java method implemented on the service, and wherein, in said performing a function, the service device is further configured to invoke the Java method on the service device in accordance with the information representing the Java method call included in the message (the system is written in Java and utilizes a Java Virtual Machine, col 4 line 64 – col 5 line 7).

In regards to claim 43, Bittenger discloses:

A virtual machine executable within the client device.

Art Unit: 2153

A client process executable within the virtual machine, wherein said generating a
message and said sending the message are performed by the client process (the
system is written in Java and utilizes a Java Virtual Machine, col 4 line 64 – col 5
line 7).

In regards to claim 44, Bittenger discloses the virtual machine is a Java Virtual Machine (JVM) (the system is written in Java and utilizes a Java Virtual Machine, col 4 line 64 – col 5 line 7).

In regards to claim 45, Bittenger discloses a device comprising:

- A client component (Fig 1 item 11 shows the client).
- A method gate (after receiving validation, the ticket acts as a gate to generate messages, col 7 lines 50-57).
- Wherein the client component is configured to generate a computer programming language method call (ticket generates a method call, col 7 lines 50-57).
- Wherein the method gate is configured to:
 - Access the computer programming language method call generated by the client component (client issues a command for starting an application, col 7 lines 27-30, a ticket is created as a credential and as a method generator, col 6 lines 63-67).
 - Generate a message in a data representation language, wherein the message includes information representing a computer programming

Art Unit: 2153

language method call, and wherein the message further includes a credential for allowing the client device access to a service in a distributed computing environment (after receiving validation, the ticket acts as a gate to generate messages, and creates a server stub to send the messages, col 7 lines 50-57).

- Send the message to the service (the server stub sends the request to the server, col 7 lines 55-57).
- Wherein the service is operable to verify the message as authentic by examining the credential included in the message, and to perform a function on behalf of the client component in accordance with the information representing the computer programming language method call included in the message if the message is verified as authentic (the ticket is sent along with login info in the first message for the server to examine, col 7 lines 10-21, after checking the ticket, application is started, col 7 lines 32-36).

In regards to claim 46, Bittenger discloses the method gate comprises a data representation language message schema comprising descriptions of data representation language messages the device is authorized to send to the service, wherein said generating a message is performed in accordance with a description of the message comprised in the message schema (the server stub is sent to the client as a parameter to define messages and requests the client can make, col 7 lines 32-30).

Art Unit: 2153

In regards to claim 48, Bittenger discloses the computer programming language is the Java programming language, and wherein the information representing a method call in the message represents a Java method call to a Java method implemented on the service (the system is written in Java and utilizes a Java Virtual Machine, col 4 line 64 – col 5 line 7).

In regards to claim 49, Bittenger discloses a device comprising:

- A client component configured to generate a message in a data representation language, wherein the message includes information representing a computer programming language method call (client issues a command for starting an application, col 7 lines 27-30, a ticket is created as a credential and as a method generator, col 6 lines 63-67).
- A message endpoint configured to:
 - Attach a credential to the message for allowing the client component access to a service in a distributed computing environment (a ticket is created as a credential, col 6 lines 63-67).
 - Send the message to a service in a distributed computing environment (the server stub sends the request to the server, col 7 lines 55-57).
- Wherein the service is operable to verify the message as authentic by examining
 the credential included in the message, and to perform a function on behalf of the
 client component in accordance with the information representing the computer
 programming language method call included in the message if the message is

Art Unit: 2153

authentic (the ticket is sent along with login info in the first message for the server to examine, col 7 lines 10-21, after checking the ticket, application is started, col 7 lines 32-36)..

In regards to claim 50, Bittenger discloses the client component is further configured to generate the computer programming language method call, and wherein said generating a message is performed in response to said generating the computer programming language method call (ticket generates a method call, col 7 lines 50-57).

In regards to claim 51, Bittenger discloses the device further comprises a virtual machine executable within the device, wherein the client component and the message endpoint are executable within the virtual machine (the system is written in Java and utilizes a Java Virtual Machine, col 4 line 64 – col 5 line 7).

In regards to claim 52, Bittenger discloses the virtual machine is a Java Virtual Machine (JVM) (the system is written in Java and utilizes a Java Virtual Machine, col 4 line 64 – col 5 line 7).

In regards to claim 54, Bittenger discloses the computer programming language is the Java programming language, and wherein the information representing a method call in the message represents a Java method call to a Java method implemented on the service.

Art Unit: 2153

In regards to claim 59, Bittenger discloses a carrier medium comprising program instructions, wherein the program instructions are computer-executable to implement:

- A client generating a message in a data representation language, wherein the
 message includes information representing a computer programming language
 method call, and wherein the message further includes a credential for allowing
 the client access to a service configured to perform functions on behalf of clients
 in the distributed computing environment (client issues a command for starting an
 application, col 7 lines 27-30, a ticket is created as a credential, col 6 lines 6367).
- The client sending the message to the service (the server stub sends the request to the server, col 7 lines 55-57).
- The service examining the credential included in the message (the ticket is sent along with login info in the first message for the server to examine, col 7 lines 10-21).
- If said examining determines the credential is authentic, the service performing a
 function on behalf of the client in accordance with the information representing
 the computer programming language method call included in the message (after
 checking the ticket, application is started, col 7 lines 32-36).
- If said examining determines the credential is not authentic, the service not performing the function on behalf of the client (if validation does not occur, no operation is performed).

Art Unit: 2153

In regards to claim 60, Bittenger discloses the program instructions are further computer-executable to implement:

- The service providing to the client a service advertisement comprising a data
 representation language message schema comprising descriptions of data
 representation language messages the client is authorized to send to the service
- Wherein said generating a message is performed in accordance with a
 description of the message comprised in the message schema (the server stub is
 sent to the client as a parameter to define messages and requests the client can
 make, col 7 lines 32-30).

In regards to claim 61, Bittenger discloses the program instructions are further computer-executable to implement: the client generating a client method gate in accordance with the service advertisement, wherein the client method gate is configured to provide to the client an interface to the service by generating the data representation language messages described in the message schema; wherein said generating a message is performed by the client method gate (the server stub is sent to the client as a parameter to define messages and requests the client can make, col 7 lines 32-30).

In regards to claim 62, Bittenger discloses the program instructions are further computer-executable to implement:

Art Unit: 2153

- The client generating a client message endpoint in accordance with the service
 advertisement, wherein the client message endpoint is configured to send the
 data representation language messages to an address on the service included in
 the service advertisement (The client ticket acts as a gate sending the message
 to the server stub which acts as an endpoint, col 7 lines 50-57).
- The client message endpoint receiving the generated message from the client method gate (The server stub creates requests for the application, col 7 lines 55-57).
- The client message endpoint attaching the credential to the message (tStamp is an identifier used on all messages, col 7 lines 1-5).
- Wherein said sending the message to the service is performed by the client message endpoint (Server stub sends the message to the server, col 7 lines 55-57)

In regards to claim 63, Bittenger discloses the service comprises one or more computer programming language methods executable within the service, wherein the information representing the computer programming language method call includes an identifier of the method call, and wherein, in said performing a function, the program instructions are further computer-executable to implement:

 Regenerating the method call in accordance with the identifier of the method call included in the information representing the method call (the ticket uses a server stub and tStamp as an identifier to represent the method call, col 7 lines 1-9).

Art Unit: 2153

 Executing a computer programming language method of the service in accordance with the regenerated method call (the server stub passes the message call to the server for execution, col 7 lines 27-49).

In regards to claim 64, Bittenger discloses the information representing the computer programming language method call further includes one or more parameter values of the method call, and wherein, in said executing a computer programming language method in accordance with the regenerated method call, the program instructions are further computer-executable to implement providing the one or more parameter values from the information representing the method call as parameter values of the method call (The server stub acts a set of parameters followed when requesting data, col 7 lines 41-57).

In regards to claim 65, Bittenger discloses the service further comprises a service method gate configured to provide an interface to the one or more computer programming language methods of the service by receiving data representation language messages and invoking computer programming language methods specified by the messages, and wherein said regenerating the method call is performed by the service method gate (server stub is used as a gate to provide an interface to one or more computer programming language methods, col 7 lines 41-57).

Art Unit: 2153

In regards to claim 68, Bittenger discloses the computer programming language is the Java programming language, and wherein the information representing the method call in the message represents a Java method call to a Java method implemented on the service, and wherein, in said performing a function, the program instructions are further computer-executable to implement invoking the Java method on the service in accordance with the information representing the Java method call included in the message (the system is written in Java and utilizes a Java Virtual Machine, col 4 line 64 – col 5 line 7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 9, 18-20, 32, 38-40, 47, 53, 55-58, 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bittenger in view of Leach et al. (US Patent Number 6108715).

In regards to claims 9, 20, 32, 40, and 66, Bittenger fails to disclose that a URI, or defined addressing space, is provided for accessing stored data. Leach however, discloses that an address is provided for accessing the data stack, and the types of files are known by the client, which are the key features of a URI. The addressing space is provided to allow the user to immediately access the data at the provided address.

Art Unit: 2153

e g) y

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Bittenger to include providing a defined address space, or a URI to the client as taught by Leach to allow the user to immediately access the data at the provided address.

In regards to claims 18-19, 38-39, Bittenger discloses all elements of the current claims (see above discussions), however, Bittenger lacks providing a storage space for results as well as informing the user where to access the stored results. Leach discloses the creation of a data stack used to store the results of the operations locally on the server, then allows the clients to map back to the stored results stack by providing an address which allows direct transfer between the client and the server greatly reducing the processing overhead (col 3 lines 18-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Bittenger to include providing a storage space for results as well as informing the user where to access the stored results as taught by Leach to allows direct transfer between the client and the server greatly reducing the processing overhead.

In regards to claims 47, 53, Bittenger fails to disclose providing the client access to the stored results data. Leach discloses the creation of a data stack used to store the results of the operations locally on the server, then allows the clients to map back to the

Art Unit: 2153

U 9 3 4

stored results stack by providing an address which allows direct transfer between the client and the server greatly reducing the processing overhead (col 3 lines 18-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Bittenger to include providing the client access to the stored results data as taught by Leach to allows direct transfer between the client and the server greatly reducing the processing overhead.

In regards to claim 55, Bittenger discloses an endpoint configured to receive a message and verify the message as authentic, but fails to disclose providing a storage space for results as well as informing the user where to access the stored results.

Leach discloses the creation of a data stack used to store the results of the operations locally on the server, then allows the clients to map back to the stored results stack by providing an address which allows direct transfer between the client and the server greatly reducing the processing overhead (col 3 lines 18-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Bittenger to include providing a storage space for results as well as informing the user where to access the stored results as taught by Leach to allows direct transfer between the client and the server greatly reducing the processing overhead.

In regards to claim 56, Bittenger discloses the service component comprises a computer programming language method,

Art Unit: 2153

E 9 3 3

- Wherein the message endpoint is further configured to:
 - Regenerate the computer programming language method call in accordance with an identifier of the method call included in the message (the ticket uses a server stub and tStamp as an identifier to represent the method call, col 7 lines 1-9).
 - Invoke the computer programming language method of the service component with the regenerated method call (the message is passed to the server stub, col 7 lines 50-57)
- Wherein, in said performing a function, the service component is further
 configured to execute the computer programming language method in
 accordance with the regenerated method call in response to said invocation (the
 server stub passes the message call to the server for execution, col 7 lines 2749).

In regards to claim 57, Bittenger discloses invoking the computer programming language method, the message endpoint is further configured to provide one or more parameter values included in the message as parameter values of the method call (the server stub is sent to the client as a parameter to define messages and requests the client can make, col 7 lines 32-30).

Art Unit: 2153

In regards to claim 58, Bittenger discloses the computer programming language is the Java programming language (the system is written in Java and utilizes a Java Virtual Machine, col 4 line 64 – col 5 line 7).

Claims 21, 41, and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bittenger in view of the Instaweb Online Computing Dictionary (Instaweb, http://www.instantweb.com/foldoc/foldoc.cgi?query=XML)

Bittenger fails to disclose the data representation language is XML. Instaweb, however, shows that XML can be used to create custom tags for data objects that offer greater flexibility in organizing and presenting information.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Bittenger to use XML as the data representation language as taught by Instaweb to allow for the creation of custom tags for data objects that offer greater flexibility in organizing and presenting information.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Wei (US Patent Number 5778228), method and system transferring remote procedures calls over a network.

Kays et al. (US Patent Number 6249822), remote procedure call method.

Art Unit: 2153

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John R Brancolini whose telephone number is (703) 305-7107. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on (703) 305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

JRB

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100